# al Variation in Stomatal Conductance of Sugarcane Varieties under Rain-Fed Conditions in Sri Lanka

e Silva

on of Crop and Resource Management, Sugarcane Research Institute, Uda Walawe, Sri Lanka

#### act

tal conductance (g<sub>s</sub>) is an important regulator of transpiration and photosynthesis in plants, especially under limited conditions. The objective of this study was to determine the genotypic variation in g<sub>s</sub> of sugarcane rain-fed conditions. A field experiment was conducted at the Sugarcane Research Institute, Uda Walawe 'N latitude, 80°48'E longitude and 76 m altitude) using sixteen sugarcane (*Saccharum* hybrid L) varieties grown rain-fed conditions in a randomized complete block design. g<sub>s</sub> and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) nitleaf area were measured in morning, mid-day and afternoon. Varieties SL88 116, SL92 4918, SL94 3325, SL92 and SL 90 6237 recorded higher g<sub>s</sub> varying in morning (250-400 mmolm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>3</sup>), mid-day (164-237 mmolm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>3</sup>) and noon (58-167 mmolm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>3</sup>). These varieties would be able to maintain higher photosynthetic rate, thus suitable to under intermittent drought. Varieties SL71 03, C0775, SL83 06, SL 93 1466, SL93 945 and SL89 1673 recorded g<sub>s</sub> varying in morning (175-215 mmolm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>3</sup>), mid-day (84-120 mmolm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>3</sup>) and afternoon (36-70 mmolm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>3</sup>). varieties tolerate the drought because a genotype with more sensitive stomata could conserve a limited supply teruntil yield formation and completion of the life cycle.

words: Rain-fed, Stomatal conductance (g,, Sugarcane, Varietal variation, Water stress

#### duction

latal conductance  $(g_s)$  is a key parameter rolling physiological processes in plants because of entral position of stomata in the leaf gas exchange way, and could be used to determine water use, r status, response to climatic factors, or response to nical and insect injury in the plants. Therefore, surement of leaf  $g_s$  is important for numerous cts of crop physiological researches. However, it is ly dependent on varying climatic conditions. er limited soil moisture availability, reductions in  $g_s$ occur even before any change in plant water status, ning that monitoring g, can be a better indicator of it responses to drying soil than monitoring plant er potential (Davies et al. 2000). Moreover, sitivity of stomata to water stress could contribute to ught tolerance of a genotype because a genotype h more sensitive stomata could conserve a limited ply of water until yield formation and completion of life cycle. On the other hand, a genotype with less Isitive stomata may be able to maintain tosynthesis  $(P_n)$  at a higher rate and may produce a her yield under intermittent drought which does not sist for a long period (Ludlow and Muchow, 1990). behaviour of  $g_s$  is in many respects was similar to the responses seen in  $P_{n^*}$ . The  $g_s$  respond to the onset of stress at about the same value of water stress as  $P_n$  and after prolonged stress very low  $g_s$  are observed. Maximum values of  $g_s$  of around 400 mmol  $m^2$  s<sup>-1</sup> were observed on well irrigated cane, in full radiation but with only moderate vapour pressure deficit (Grantz et al. 1987). Therefore, measurements of  $g_s$  made directly by porometers could be used as a means of selecting drought tolerant varieties of sugarcane (Roberts et al. 1990). Drought tolerance is an essential trait required for achieving high sugarcane yield in Sri Lanka. Therefore, the objective of the study was to determine the genotypic variation of  $g_s$  and thereby identifying suitable sugarcane varieties to cultivate under water limited conditions.

### Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at the Sugarcane Research Institute (SRI), Uda Walawe (6°21'N latitude,  $80^{\circ}48'$ E longitude and 76 m altitude) under rain-fed conditions using sixteen sugarcane (Saccharum hybrid L.) varieties in a RCBD design in three replicates. Plot size was 9 m x 8.22 m, containing 6 furrows at 1.37 m of recommended spacing. Stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) and photosynthetically active

radiation (PAR) (incident radiation) per unit leaf area in three leaves of the canopy including top visible dewlap (TVD) leaf and two younger leaves above the TVD leaf was measured by an automatic diffusion porometer (AP4, Delta-T) at clear sunshine days. Measurements were started at 70 days after ratooning the 3rd ratoon crop of the experiment and continued during the period from 24th February to 4th March 2010 in five days to coincide with the dry spell between Maha and Yala seasons. Measurements were made at three times per day [Morning (07:30-10:15h), mid-day (10:15-14:15h) and afternoon (16:30-18:00h)]. Three replicate plants were measured in each experimental plot. Significance of treatment differences was tested by the Proc GLM procedure of the SAS statistical package (2004). Means were separated by using the least square means (LSmean).

#### Results and Discussion

Stomatal conductance  $(g_i)$  and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) per unit leaf area showed a significant variation on varieties (p  $\leq$  0.04), time (i.e. morning, midday and afternoon) of the measurements (p  $\leq$  0.0001) and leaf number in the canopy (p  $\leq$  0.005). Moreover,  $g_i$  in morning, mid-day and afternoon had a significant

Table 1: g, in different sugarcane varieties at morning, mid-day, afternoon and averaged g, in the day under rain-fed conditions

Varie ty	gs (m molm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )			
	Morning	Mid day	Afternoon	Average
SL 88 116	384ab	238a	58.1 bc	281a
SL 92 4918	404a	171abc	86.8bc	273a
SL 94 3 325	300abc	164abc	167.0a	232ab
SL 90 6237	255bc	208ab	103.4b	214abc
SL 92 4997	261bc	178abc	106.5b	207abc
M 438/59	276abc	134bc	79.9 <sup>-bc</sup>	196bc
SL 93 9 45	291abc	99.1°	100.5b	195bc
SL7130	260bc	153abc	63.4 bc	191bc
SL 89 1673	241c	109c	82.0 bc	169bc
SL 92 5 588	209c	146abc	76.7 bc	168bc
SL 92 4 223	206bc	138bc	81.4 bc	165bc
SL 93 938	184 <sup>c</sup>	171abc	69.9 bc	159bc
SL 83 0 6	215°	84.5°	64.5 bc	146°
SL 93 1466	175°	110°	71.5 bc	136°
Co 775	177°	120bc	35.6°	136°
SL 71 03	192c	88.9c	54.9 bc	135c
Mean	252	144	81.4	188
Pro bability	P=0.002	P=0.003	P<0.01	P<0.01

Means of the same letter are not significantly different at  $0.05\,\%$  significant level

(p<0.01) variation on varieties and leaf number whereas PAR showed a significant (p<0.01) variation on varieties alone in the afternoon and on the leaf number in morning and mid-day (Tables 1-3). Also, except morning, there was a significant correlation between  $g_s$  and PAR in mid-day (p=0.002), afternoon (p=0.0001) and average over the day (p=0.0006).

The morning had greater  $g_s$  than the mid-day which in turn had greater  $g_s$  than the afternoon consistently in all varieties except the varieties of SL 94 3325 and SL 93 945 which had slightly increased  $g_s$  in the afternoon than the mid-day (Tables 1). However, in PAR, mid-day had greater values than the morning which in turn had greater values than the afternoon (Results not shown) Despite of PAR, all varieties reduced  $g_i$  in mid-day compared to morning. Roberts et al. (1990) observed similar diurnal changes in  $g_s$ . However, in contrast, Du et al. (2000) observed the maximum value of  $g_i$  in midday and the diurnal changes in g, were closely related with the changes in PAR. Moreover, varieties showed varying response in g, in times of measurements. Variety SL 88 116 recorded the highest g, in the midday, the highest average  $g_s$  in the day, the second highest g, the morning and third lowest gs in the afternoon. SL 92 4918 recorded the highest g, in the morning and the second highest average  $g_s$  in the day. Also, varieties SL 94 3325, SL 92 4997 and SL 90 6237 recorded higher  $g_s$  in all the times of measurements. In contrast, varieties SL 71 03 and Co 775 recorded the lower  $g_s$  in mid-day, afternoon and the lowest average  $g_s$  in the day. SL 83 06 and SL 93 1466 had a lower  $g_s$  in morning, mid-day and lower average in the day. SL93 945 and SL 89 1673 recorded lower  $g_s$  in the mid-day. Varieties which had lower  $g_s$  with sensitive stomata to water stress could tolerate the drought whereas varieties which had higher  $g_s$  with less sensitive stomata may be drought susceptible or alternatively maintain a high  $g_s$  and moderate leaf water potential by more efficient or deeper rooting patterns may be able to maintain photosynthesis at a higher rate and produce a higher yield under intermittent drought (Ludlow and Muchow 1990). Moreover, De Silva (2007) showed that the variety SL 88 116 which had

under rain-fed conditions and the second lowest g, under rain-fed conditions. The variety Co 775 which had second highest biomass production recorded lowest g, under rain-fed conditions.

## References

- Davies WJ, Bacon MA, Thompson DS, Sobeih W and Rodriguez LG 2000 Regulation of leaf and fruit growth in plants growing in drying soil: exploitation of the plants' chemical signaling system and hydraulic architecture to increase the efficiency of water use in agriculture. Journal of Experimental Botany 51:1617–1626.
- De Silva ALC 2007 Investigation of growth, yield, ratooning ability and some important physiological attributes of a selected set of commercial sugarcane varieties in Sri Lanka under irrigated and rainfed conditions. M. Phil. Theses. Postgraduate Institute of Agriculture, University of Peredeniya, Sri Lanka.

- Du Y-C, Nose A, Kondo A and Wasano K 2000 Diurnal changes in photosynthesis in sugarcane leaves. 1. Carbon dioxide exchange rate, photosynthetic enzymes activities and metabolite levels relating to the C<sub>4</sub> pathway and the Calvin cycle. *Plant Production Sciences* 3(1):3-8.
- Grantz DA, Moore PH and Zeiger E 1987 Stomatal responses to light and humidity in sugarcane: prediction of daily time courses and identification of potential selection criteria. Plant Cell and Environment 10: 197-204.
- Ludlow MM and Muchow RC 1990 A Critical evaluation of traits for improving crop yield in water-limited environments. Advances in Agronomy 43:107-153.
- Roberts J, Nayamuth RA, Batchelo RCH and Soopramanien GC 1990 Plant-water relations of sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) under a range of irrigated treatments. Agricultural Water Management 17:95-115.